



STRATEGIC PLAN

MISSION STATEMENT: Making homelessness “Rare, Brief and Non-Recurring” using the Housing First Model

- Goal 1: Increase Capacity
- Goal 2: Systems Advocacy
- Goal 3: Quality Assurance
- Objective: Partnerships & Funding
- Objective: Education and Awareness
- Objective: Data & Written Standards

**FL-520 - Citrus, Hernando,
Lake, Sumter Counties CoC**

MFHC Performance Committee Meeting
Wednesday, July 24, 2019 1:30 PM

- | | |
|---|-----------------|
| 1. Call to Order, Welcome and Introductions | Steve Smith |
| 2. Approval of Minutes | Steve Smith |
| 3. FY 2018 - 2019 Show Me the Money | Paula Holtsclaw |
| 4. FY 2018 - 2019 Grant Performance Review | Paula Holtsclaw |
| 5. HUD NOFA Rank & Review Committee | Paula Holtsclaw |
| 6. Next Meeting Schedule & Frequency | Steve Smith |
| 7. Adjourn | Steve Smith |

Challenge Grant - \$145,885.82

(September '18 - June '19)

TYPE	Subgrantee	Grant Amount	Balance	
Project 1: Coordinated Intake	MFHC	\$9,808.00	\$0.00	100%
Project 2: RRH/HP	United Way - Citrus	\$37,946.68	\$0.00	100%
Project 3: RRH/HP	Catholic Charities	\$16,798.95	\$0.00	100%
Project 4: RRH/HP	Lifestream	\$24,200.00	\$0.00	100%
Project 5: RRH/HP	Dawn Center	\$17,888.00	\$0.00	100%
Project 6: Housing Locator	MFHC	\$25,380.50	\$0.00	100%
Administration		\$11,364.61	\$0.00	100%

Emergency Solutions Grant - \$305,106.45 (July '18 - June '19)

TYPE	Grant Amount	Balance	
RRH	\$230,158.89	\$0.00	100.00%
Outreach	\$57,824.00	\$0.00	100.00%
HMIS	\$2,814.00	\$0.00	100.00%
Admin	\$14,309.56	\$0.00	100.00%

TANF Grant - \$36,000.00		(July '18 - June '19)	
TYPE	Grant Amount	Balance	
Rent/Mortgage	\$32,810.00	\$0.00	100.00%
Utility	\$65,824.00	\$0.00	100.00%
Case Management	\$540.00	\$0.00	100.00%
Administration	\$1,080.06	\$0.00	100.00%

RRH (ESG & Challenge) FY 2018-2019	# HH Served (unduplicated)	# HH Referred & Enrolled	# HH Housed during period	# HH meeting Housing Goal GOAL: WITHIN 30 DAYS
Catholic Charities DOSP \$40,798.03 - ESG & Challenge	13	4	4	2
Dawn Center \$17,888 - Challenge	8	3	2	0
LifeStream \$127,816.07 - ESG & Challenge	27	14	13	9
United Way of Citrus County \$133,543.74 - ESG & Challenge	83	46	26	17

RRH (ESG & Challenge)	Measure #5: Number of Households who became homeless for the 1st time (unduplicated)	Measure #7a1: Successful Placement from Street Outreach or Successful Placement in or Retention of Permanent Housing from RRH		
		# HH exiting to:		
		Permanent Housing	Temporary Housing	Not Housed or Unknown
Catholic Charities DOSP \$40,798.03 - ESG & Challenge	5	9	0	0
Dawn Center \$17,888 - Challenge	2	7	0	1
LifeStream \$127,816.07 - ESG & Challenge	13	10	0	1
United Way of Citrus County \$133,543.74 - ESG & Challenge	33	50	3	13

Challenge - Homeless Prevention

9/1/18 - 6/30/19

	Catholic Charities DOSP	Dawn Center	LifeStream	United Way of Citrus County
# HH Served	8	1	3	12
# HH Referred & enrolled during period	5	0	2	6
# HH Retaining Housing	8	0*	3	12

* Exited to Staying with Friends rather than Retaining own housing

Challenge Housing Locator - 9/1/18 - 6/30/19	
Provider - Mid Florida Homeless Coalition	\$25,380.50 Deliverables: 10 Annual / 1 per month
# HH Served Housing Locator Program	63
# HH - Successful Placements	47
# HH - Housing Acquired within 30 days	31
# HH - Unsuccessful Placements (HH exited by Provider)	6

ESG Street Outreach - LifeStream - \$55,879.00

Minimum Deliverables - 15 persons per month	TOTAL	Citrus	Hernando	Lake	Sumter
Total # HH Served, unduplicated - 7/1/18 - 6/30/19	143	47	18	77	1
Average cost per person was \$390 Average number of unduplicated persons served per month was 12					
Measure #7a1: Successful Placement from Street Outreach or Successful Placement in or Retention of Permanent Housing from RRH					
# Leavers, with Permanent Housing		1	4	11	0
# Leavers, with Temporary/Institutional Destinations		1	2	1	0
# Leavers, with no housing (still on streets)		0	0	9	1
Data not collected, No Exit Interview Completed		13	12	55	0
Deceased		0	0	1	0

MFHC Coordinated Access - HUD & Challenge Grant

	VI-SPDAT	SPDAT	VI-SPDAT-HP
# HH Assessed during period by MFHC	284	405	70
# HH Referred to SPDAT	241	N/A	N/A
# HH Referred to RRH or PSH, or HP	0	99	28
# HH Referred to other options in CoC	1	8	12
# HH Did Not Qualify, or declined	18	53	55
# Self-Housed	9	12	N/A
# No contact or services in last 90 days	42	100	N/A
# HH Not yet SPDAT'd	1	N/A	N/A

MFHC Coordinated Access - By Name List *-Only Adults or Heads of Household are included*

Measure #1: Length of Time (LOT) Persons Remain Homeless	All SPDAT Assessments			
LOT Homeless at time of SPDAT Assessment	as of 10/25/18	as of 12/31/18	as of 3/31/19	as of 6/30/19
One day or less	2	6	61	3
2-6 Nights	3	11	23	10
One week or more but less than one month	28	69	187	77
One month or more but less than 90 days	62	140	38	121
90 days or more but less than 1 year	50	98	55	93
1 year or longer	40	72	44	71
Data Not Collected	0	5	7	0

MFHC Coordinated Access - By Name List -Only Adults or Heads of Household are included

Measure #1: Length of Time (LOT) Persons Remain Homeless

LOT Homeless since SPDAT	All SPDAT Assessments			
	as of 10/25/18	as of 12/31/18	as of 3/31/19	as of 6/30/19
One day or less		0	0	0
2-6 Nights		6	9	14
One week or more but less than one month		5	20	24
One month or more but less than 90 days		48	66	71
90 days or more but less than 1 year		193	148	178
1 year or longer		48	61	88
Measure #5: Number of Persons who became homeless for the 1st time		179	144	217

MFHC Coordinated Access - By Name List

Measure #3: Number of Homeless Households					
County	Oct 25, 2018	Dec 31, 2018	Mar 31, 2019	May 20, 2019	Jul 15, 2019
Citrus	262	292	177	202	226
Hernando	339	372	408	421	464
Lake	273	340	402	416	501
Sumter	12	12	13	14	17
Total	886	1,016	1,000	1052	1208

How the CoC's System Performance Measures & their effect on HUD Grant

Measure 3 - Number of Homeless

NOFA #	Possible Points	Breakdown of Points
2a.	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Up to 2 of the 10 points for demonstrating a decrease of at least 5% in Sheltered Count in 2019 PIT over 2018 PIT; ● Up to 5 of the 10 points for demonstrating a decrease of at least 5% in Unsheltered Count in 2019 PIT over 2018 PIT; ● Up to 3 of the 10 points for a decrease of at least 5% in the overall count.

	2018	2019	Difference
Sheltered	449	346	-103 or 22.9% reduction
Unsheltered	262	331	69 or 26.3% increase
Total	711	677	-34 or 4.4% reduction

Measure 5 - Number of First Time Homeless

NOFA #	Possible Points	Breakdown of Points		
2b.	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Demonstrate a reduction in the number of first time homeless. ● Identify the process by which risk factors are identified in the community for becoming homeless for the first time. ● Describe strategies in place to address IND & FAM at risk of becoming homeless; ● Identify the organization or position that is responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategy to reduce or end the number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time. 		
		2017	2018	Difference
5.1	First Time Homeless (ES, TH)	576	523	-53 or 9% reduction
5.2	First Time Homeless (ES, TH, PH)	842	809	-33 or 3.9% reduction

Measure 1 - Length of Time Persons Remain Homeless

NOFA #	Possible Points	Breakdown of Points
2c.	14	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Up to 6 of the 14 points for demonstrating a reduction in the length of time IND & FAM remain homeless of at least 5%. Describe strategies in place to reduce the length of time IND & FAM remain homeless; Describe how the CoC identifies and houses IND & FAM with the longest length of time homeless; Identify the organization or position that is responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time IND & FAM remain homeless.

		2017	2018	Difference
1a-1.1	Persons in ES, LOT Homeless	64 days	50 days	- 14 days or 23% reduction
1a-1.2	Persons in ES & TH, LOT Homeless	123 days	119 days	- 4 days or 3.3% reduction
1b-1.1	Persons in ES & PH, LOT prior to move-in	155 days	189 days	+ 34 days or 22 increase
1b-1.2	Persons in ES, TH & PH, LOT prior to move-in	200 days	231 days	+ 31 days or 15% increase

Measure 7 - Successful Placement in PH or Retention of PH

NOFA #	Possible Points	Breakdown of Points
2d.	11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Up to 4 of the 11 points for demonstrating an increase in the rate in which persons exit to PH or retain PH if they are in a PH project of at least 5%; Describe the strategy the CoC is taking to improve permanent housing placement & retention.

		2017	2018	Difference
7a.1	Persons exiting SO to PH	11%	18%	7% increase
7b.1	Persons exiting ES, TH & RRH to PH	43%	53%	10% increase
7b.2	Persons remaining or exiting PH to PH	91%	88%	3% decrease

Measure 2 - Returns to Homelessness

NOFA #	Possible Points	Breakdown of Points		
2e.	8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Up to 4 of the 8 points for demonstrating a reduction of at least 5% in the rate at which persons who exited to PH experienced additional spells of homelessness; Describe the strategy that has been implemented to identify IND & FAM who return to homelessness; Describe the strategy that will reduce returns to homelessness; Identify the organization or position that is responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategy to reduce returns to homelessness. 		
		2017	2018	Difference
2.	Total Returns to Homelessness	60 of 543 11%	80 of 640 12.5%	1.5% increase

Measure 4 - Employment Growth

NOFA #	Possible Points	Breakdown of Points
2f.	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Up to 3 out of the 5 points for demonstrating an increase in income from employment for persons served by CoC Program-funded projects; Describe the strategies that are likely to increase employment income; Identify the organization or position that is responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategy to increase jobs and income from employment.

		2017	2018	Difference
4.1	Stayers - increased Earned Income	19%	0%	19% decrease
4.2	Stayers - increased Other Income	22%	29%	7% increase
4.3	Stayers - Increase Total Income	37%	29%	8% decrease

Measure 4 - Non-Employment Growth

NOFA #	Possible Points	Breakdown of Points		
2g.	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Up to 1 out of the 3 points for demonstrating an increase in income from employment for persons served by CoC Program-funded projects; Describe the strategies that are likely to increase non-employment income; Identify the organization or position that is responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategy to increase non-employment cash income. 		
		2017	2018	Difference
4.1	Leavers - increased Earned Income	7%	11%	4% increase
4.2	Leavers - increased Other Income	29%	28%	1% decrease
4.3	Leavers - Increase Total Income	29%	39%	10% increase